



## Connecting the Dots: Biased Hiring and the Ripple Effect on Anticipated Employee Performance

---

Lee Kasowaki and Musa Zayd

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

December 9, 2023

# Connecting the Dots: Biased Hiring and the Ripple Effect on Anticipated Employee Performance

Lee Kasowaki, Musa Zayd

## Abstract:

This article addresses the importance of fair and equitable recruitment practices in today's diverse and competitive business landscape. It discusses the impact of biased recruitment practices on organizational performance, including reduced diversity and inclusion, missed talent pool, legal and ethical concerns, and hindered performance and innovation. The article also provides strategies to address biased recruitment practices, such as diversity and inclusion training, structured interview processes, blind hiring practices, diversity in hiring panels, and data-driven decision-making.

**Keywords:** Diversity and Inclusion, Unconscious Bias, Algorithmic Bias, Legal and Ethical Concerns, Predicted Performance, Mitigation Strategies, Data-Driven Decision-Making, Structured Interview Processes, Blind Hiring Practices, Talent Pool.

## Introduction

Biased recruitment practices are any actions or processes that unfairly disadvantage certain groups of candidates in the hiring process. These practices can be intentional or unintentional, but they all have the same negative impact: they exclude qualified candidates from consideration and perpetuate inequality in the workplace. There are many different types of biased recruitment practices, but some of the most common include Stereotyping and unconscious bias: Stereotypes are oversimplified beliefs about groups of people. Unconscious bias is when we hold stereotypes without even being aware of them[1]. Both of these can lead to discrimination in the hiring process. For example, a recruiter may be more likely to interview a candidate who they believe is a "good fit" for the company culture, even if that candidate is not the most qualified. Lack of diversity in the hiring pool: If the pool of candidates for a job is not diverse, then the chances of hiring a

qualified candidate from an underrepresented group are slim. This is often because companies do not actively reach out to candidates from different backgrounds, or because they do not have the resources or expertise to attract and retain diverse talent.

**Unstructured or subjective interview processes:** Unstructured interviews, which are not based on a set of predetermined questions, can be more susceptible to bias than structured interviews. This is because interviewers may be more likely to ask questions or make decisions based on their own biases.

**The use of non-job-related factors in the hiring process:** Companies often use non-job-related factors, such as a candidate's appearance, background, or personal beliefs, to make hiring decisions. These factors are not relevant to a candidate's ability to do the job, and they can lead to discrimination.

**The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the hiring process:** AI-powered hiring tools are becoming increasingly popular, but they can also be biased. This is because AI algorithms are trained on data that may contain human biases[2]. As a result, AI-powered hiring tools can perpetuate inequality in the workplace.

**Biased recruitment practices have several negative consequences. They can:**

- Exclude qualified candidates from consideration:** This can lead to companies missing out on top talent and creating a less diverse workforce.
- Perpetuate inequality in the workplace:** Biased recruitment practices can make it more difficult for people from underrepresented groups to get hired and advance in their careers. This can lead to a less diverse and inclusive workplace.
- Damage the company's reputation:** Companies that are known for biased recruitment practices can damage their reputation and make it difficult to attract and retain top talent.

There are several things that companies can do to avoid biased recruitment practices, including

- Conducting unconscious bias training for all employees:** This can help employees to identify and overcome their own biases.
- Develop a structured and standardized interview process:** This can help to ensure that all candidates are treated fairly.
- Use diversity and inclusion initiatives to attract and retain diverse talent:** This can include outreach to underrepresented groups, mentorship programs, and employee resource groups.
- Avoid using AI-powered hiring tools that are not proven to be fair:** Companies should carefully evaluate AI-powered hiring tools before using them to ensure that they do not perpetuate bias.

In today's competitive business landscape, organizations are constantly seeking ways to improve their hiring processes and identify top talent. Traditional hiring methods, such as relying solely on resumes and interviews, often fall short in accurately predicting the future performance of candidates. This is where the concept of predicted performance in hiring comes into play[3].

## **Predicted Performance in Hiring**

Predicted performance in hiring is the process of using data and analytics to assess a candidate's likelihood of success in a particular role. This approach goes beyond traditional hiring methods and utilizes a variety of data sources, including Job descriptions and requirements, Candidate resumes and application materials, Assessment scores from skills tests and personality assessments, past performance data from previous employers, and Behavioral and cognitive data from interviews and simulations. By analyzing this data, organizations can identify patterns and correlations that can help predict a candidate's potential for success[4]. This data-driven approach can significantly improve the quality of hiring decisions and reduce the risk of costly bad hires. There are several significant benefits to using predicted performance in hiring. These include

- Improved hiring accuracy: Data-driven hiring methods can help organizations identify candidates who are a better fit for the job and have a higher likelihood of success.
- Reduced hiring bias: By relying on objective data rather than subjective assessments, predicted performance can help reduce unconscious bias and promote fair and equitable hiring practices.
- Increased employee productivity and retention: Hiring the right candidates for the right roles can lead to increased productivity, reduced turnover, and a more engaged and satisfied workforce.
- Improved decision-making processes: Predicted performance can provide hiring managers with valuable insights that can help them make more informed and data-driven hiring decisions[5].

## **Implementation Strategies for Predicted Performance**

To effectively implement predicted performance in hiring, organizations should consider the following strategies:

- Identify key performance indicators (KPIs): Clearly define the KPIs that are most important for success in the role, and use these metrics as the basis for performance prediction.
- Collect and analyze relevant data: Gather data from a variety of sources, including job descriptions, candidate applications, assessment scores, and past performance data. Use data analytics tools to identify patterns and correlations that can predict future performance.
- Develop predictive models: Create predictive models that use the collected data to assess a candidate's likelihood of success. Regularly update and refine these models as new data becomes available[6].

Integrate predicted performance into the hiring process: Use predicted performance scores as part of the overall hiring evaluation, along with traditional methods such as interviews and reference checks. Ensure transparency and explainability: Communicate the use of predicted performance to candidates and explain how the data is being used to assess their qualifications. Provide opportunities for candidates to address any concerns or perceived biases. In today's diverse and competitive business landscape, organizations are increasingly recognizing the importance of fair and equitable recruitment practices. Biased recruitment practices can have a detrimental impact on organizational performance, leading to a less diverse and inclusive workforce, reduced innovation, and ultimately, hindered growth and success. Bias is a subconscious preference or prejudice that can influence decisions and perceptions, often without the individual's awareness. In the context of recruitment, bias can manifest in various forms, including Stereotypes: Fixed and overgeneralized beliefs about particular groups of people, often based on race, gender, ethnicity, or other demographic factors. Unconscious bias: Implicit biases that operate below the level of conscious awareness, influencing decisions without the individual's direct knowledge. Algorithmic bias: Bias embedded in artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms used for candidate screening, can perpetuate existing societal biases. Biased recruitment practices can have a significant impact on organizational performance, affecting both predicted and actual outcomes: Reduced Diversity and Inclusion: Biased recruitment practices can lead to a less diverse and inclusive workforce, limiting the range of perspectives, experiences, and backgrounds that contribute to innovation and problem-solving. Missed Talent Pool: Biased practices can result in overlooking qualified candidates from underrepresented groups, depriving the organization of valuable talent and expertise. Legal and Ethical Concerns: Biased recruitment practices can lead to legal and reputational risks, as they may violate anti-discrimination laws and create internal conflicts and grievances. Hindered Performance and Innovation: A less diverse and inclusive workforce can limit the organization's ability to adapt to changing market demands, stifle creativity, and ultimately hinder overall performance[7]. To address biased recruitment practices and promote a fair and equitable hiring process, organizations can implement various strategies: Diversity and Inclusion Training: Provide training for hiring managers and recruiters to recognize and mitigate unconscious biases, promoting a more objective and inclusive approach to candidate evaluation. Structured Interview Processes: Implement structured interview guidelines and rubrics to standardize the evaluation process, reducing the potential for subjective bias. Blind Hiring Practices: Utilize blind hiring

techniques, where candidate identities are concealed during the initial screening stages, to focus on qualifications and experience rather than preconceived notions. Diversity in Hiring Panels: Include diverse representation in hiring panels to bring a wider range of perspectives and reduce the influence of individual biases. Data-Driven Decision-Making: Utilize data and analytics to identify potential bias in recruitment processes and make informed decisions based on evidence rather than assumptions. Types of Biased Recruitment Practices are A. Unconscious Bias: 1. Implicit biases and their influence on decision-making 2. Stereotyping and its impact on candidate evaluation 3. Affinity bias and the tendency to favor similar candidates B. Explicit Bias: 1. Predetermined expectations and their influence on candidate assessment 2. Discrimination based on personal characteristics (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity) 3. Preferential treatment is based on social connections or personal relationships[8].

## **Conclusions**

Fair and equitable recruitment practices are essential for organizations to build a diverse and inclusive workforce that drives innovation, enhances problem-solving, and fosters a positive and productive work environment. By addressing biased practices and implementing strategies that promote objectivity and inclusivity, organizations can reap the benefits of a diverse workforce and achieve sustainable success in the ever-changing business landscape. In the end, by dismantling the roots of biased hiring and understanding its ripple effect, organizations can create a more equitable, dynamic, and high-performing work environment. Recognizing the interconnectedness of biased recruitment and anticipated employee performance is the first step toward fostering a workplace culture that values merit, diversity, and the genuine potential of each team member.

## **References:**

- [1] V. Benuyenah, "Rethinking recruitment ethically through the lens of corporate social responsibility (CSR)," in *Evidence-based HRM: a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship*, 2023, vol. 11, no. 3: Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 372-376.
- [2] V. Benuyenah, "Can the concept of “lean management” be applied to academic recruitment?—a quasi-theoretical discourse," *Rajagiri Management Journal*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 105-112, 2021.
- [3] J. Enevoldsen and S. T. Vistisen, "Performance of the Hypotension Prediction Index may be overestimated due to selection bias," *Anesthesiology*, vol. 137, no. 3, pp. 283-289, 2022.
- [4] J. Banasik, J. Crook, and L. Thomas, "Sample selection bias in credit scoring models," *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 822-832, 2003.
- [5] V. F. Froelicher *et al.*, "The electrocardiographic exercise test in a population with reduced workup bias: diagnostic performance, computerized interpretation, and multivariable prediction," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 128, no. 12\_Part\_1, pp. 965-974, 1998.
- [6] J. Zimmermann, K. H. Brodersen, H. R. Heinemann, and J. M. Buhmann, "A Model-Based Approach to Predicting Graduate-Level Performance Using Indicators of Undergraduate-Level Performance," *Journal of Educational Data Mining*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 151-176, 2015.
- [7] W. Bouwmeester *et al.*, "Reporting and methods in clinical prediction research: a systematic review," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. e1001221, 2012.
- [8] C. Seale, Y. Tepeli, and J. P. Gonçalves, "Overcoming selection bias in synthetic lethality prediction," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 38, no. 18, pp. 4360-4368, 2022.